

**EOIR Table 1.4-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Houston Immigration Court, Pre-FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	7	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	-	-	-	16	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.4-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Houston Immigration Court, FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
CAMEROON	-	-	-	*	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	-	*	-
PALESTINE	-	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	*	-	-	17	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.4-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Houston Immigration Court, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALBANIA	-	-	-	*	-
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-
CAMBODIA	*	-	-	-	-
CAMEROON	*	-	-	-	-
CHINA	*	-	*	6	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	16	-
ECUADOR	-	-	*	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
ERITREA	*	-	-	-	-
GUATEMALA	*	-	*	*	-
GUYANA	-	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
IRAN	-	-	-	*	-
IRAQ	*	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	-	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	-	*	-
SOMALIA	*	-	-	-	-
SRI LANKA	*	*	-	*	-
SUDAN	*	-	-	*	-
ZIMBABWE	-	-	-	*	-

TOTAL	19	*	*	59	-
-------	----	---	---	----	---

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.4-4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Houston Immigration Court, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
BURMA (MYANMAR)	-	-	-	*	-
BURUNDI	-	-	-	*	-
CAMEROON	*	-	-	-	-
CHINA	*	-	-	6	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	*	28	-
CUBA	-	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
ERITREA	-	-	-	*	-
ETHIOPIA	-	-	-	*	-
GEORGIA	-	-	-	*	-
GHANA	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
GUYANA	-	-	-	*	-
HAITI	*	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	*	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	*	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	-	*	-
SOMALIA	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	*	*	-

YUGOSLAVIA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	11	-	*	69	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.4-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Houston Immigration Court, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-
CAMEROON	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	-	-	*	*	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	*	14	-
CUBA	-	-	*	*	*
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	9	-
GHANA	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
IRAQ	-	-	-	*	-
JORDAN	-	-	-	*	-
KENYA	-	-	-	*	-
LIBERIA	*	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-
TANZANIA	-	-	*	-	-
TOTAL	7	-	7	60	*

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.4-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Houston Immigration Court, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALBANIA	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	-	-	-	*	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	*	*	-
CUBA	*	-	*	-	*
DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF CONGO	-	-	-	*	-
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	-	-
GRENADA	-	-	-	*	-
HAITI	*	-	-	-	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	*	-	-	*	-
LIBERIA	*	-	-	-	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
VIETNAM	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	9	-	*	15	*

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected

in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

*Removal Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.4-7. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, Houston Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Immigration Judge	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
JUDGE 1	6	-	-	26	-
JUDGE 2	12	-	-	41	-
JUDGE 3	9	1	-	28	1
JUDGE 4	1	-	4	19	-
JUDGE 5	5	-	-	21	2
JUDGE 6	7	-	11	66	-
JUDGE 7	3	-	2	14	5
JUDGE 8	5	-	1	21	-
TOTAL	48	1	18	236	8

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.5-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Krome Immigration Court, Pre-FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	-	-	-	*	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.5-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Krome Immigration Court, FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
ARGENTINA	-	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-
BURKINA FASO	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	*	-	-	-	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	*	6	-
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
GUYANA	-	-	-	*	-
HAITI	-	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
JAMAICA	-	-	-	*	-
JORDAN	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	6	-
SAUDI ARABIA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	*	-	*	36	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

^aRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.5-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Krome Immigration Court, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ANGOLA	-	-	-	*	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	*	*	-
COSTA RICA	-	-	-	*	-
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
HAITI	-	-	-	8	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
IRAN	-	-	-	*	-
JAMAICA	-	-	-	*	-
JORDAN	-	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	-	-	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
TURKEY	-	-	*	*	-
VENEZUELA	-	-	-	*	-
UNKNOWN	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	*	-	*	39	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for

relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.5-4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Krome Immigration Court, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	-	-	-	7	-
BURKINA FASO	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	-	-	-	*	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	*	25	-
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	8	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EGYPT	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	7	-
GERMANY	-	-	-	*	-
GHANA	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
GUYANA	-	-	-	10	-
HAITI	19	*	*	161	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
INDONESIA	-	-	-	*	-
IRAN	*	*	-	*	-
IRAQ	*	-	-	*	-
JAMAICA	-	-	-	*	-
JORDAN	-	-	-	*	-
KUWAIT	-	-	-	*	-
LEBANON	-	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	*	7	-

PALESTINE	-	-	*	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
POLAND	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-
SUDAN	-	-	-	*	-
TURKEY	-	-	-	*	-
VENEZUELA	-	*	-	-	-
TOTAL	22	*	9	261	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.5-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Krome Immigration Court, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALBANIA	-	-	-	*	-
ARMENIA	-	-	-	*	-
BARBADOS	-	-	-	*	-
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	9	-
BRAZIL	-	-	*	11	-
CHILE	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	*	-	-	*	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	14	90	-
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	14	-
ECUADOR	-	-	*	8	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	*	*	-
GHANA	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
GUYANA	*	-	*	32	-
HAITI	7	-	*	153	-
HONDURAS	-	-	*	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
JAMAICA	-	-	*	7	-
JORDAN	-	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	*	*	-
ROMANIA	-	-	-	*	-
SOMALIA	-	-	-	*	-
TURKEY	-	-	*	*	-

UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-
UNITED KINGDOM	-	-	-	*	-
VENEZUELA	-	-	-	*	-
ZAMBIA	*	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	19	-	28	365	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.5-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Krome Immigration Court, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	*	-	-	*	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	*	19	-
ECUADOR	-	-	*	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	*	-	-
GUYANA	-	-	*	8	-
HAITI	*	-	*	42	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
JAMAICA	-	-	-	*	-
MACEDONIA	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	*	*	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-
TAIWAN	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	*	-	15	87	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.5-7. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, Krome Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Immigration Judge	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
JUDGE 1	7	-	-	5	-
JUDGE 2	1	-	-	9	-
JUDGE 3	23	2	15	384	-
JUDGE 4	-	-	1	2	-
JUDGE 5	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 6	3	1	21	206	-
JUDGE 7	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 8	-	-	2	40	-
JUDGE 9	-	-	-	2	-
JUDGE 10	13	-	16	139	-
TOTAL	47	3	55	789	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.6-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Lancaster Immigration Court, Pre-FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	-	-	-	*	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.6-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Lancaster Immigration Court, FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	*	-	*	-	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	*	-	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
IRAQ	*	-	-	-	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	*	*	-
SOMALIA	*	-	-	-	-
SRI LANKA	*	*	-	-	-
TOTAL	*	*	*	7	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.6-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Lancaster Immigration Court, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALGERIA	*	-	-	*	-
CHINA	-	-	-	*	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	*	*	-
JORDAN	-	-	*	*	-
PALESTINE	-	-	-	*	-
SUDAN	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	*	-	*	16	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.6-4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Lancaster Immigration Court, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARMENIA	*	-	-	*	-
BANGLADESH	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	*	-	*	31	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	-	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
IRAN	*	-	-	-	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	-	*	-
PANAMA	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
PHILIPPINES	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	*	*	6	6	-
SUDAN	-	-	-	*	-
TAIWAN	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	*	-	-	-	-
UNITED KINGDOM	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	11	*	10	64	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match

36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.6-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Lancaster Immigration Court, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALBANIA	-	-	-	*	-
ARGENTINA	-	-	-	*	-
ARMENIA	*	-	*	27	-
AZERBAIJAN	-	-	-	*	-
BELARUS	-	-	-	*	-
CAMBODIA	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	17	*	-	54	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	*	*	-
ERITREA	*	-	-	-	-
ESTONIA	-	-	-	*	-
ETHIOPIA	*	*	-	*	-
GEORGIA	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	*	-	-	*	-
INDONESIA	-	-	-	*	-
ISRAEL	-	-	-	*	-
JAPAN	-	-	*	-	-
KENYA	-	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
NEPAL	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
PANAMA	-	-	*	-	-
RUSSIA	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	*	-	*	15	-

SYRIA	-	-	-	*	-
TANZANIA	-	-	-	*	-
TURKEY	*	*	-	-	-
UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-
YUGOSLAVIA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	26	*	6	134	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.6-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Lancaster Immigration Court, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALBANIA	-	-	-	*	-
ARMENIA	-	-	-	*	-
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
ERITREA	*	*	-	-	-
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	-	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
LIBERIA	*	-	-	*	-
MONGOLIA	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	*	*	-	15	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.6-7. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, Lancaster Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Immigration Judge	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
JUDGE 1	-	-	-	5	-
JUDGE 2	11	2	2	101	-
JUDGE 3	1	-	-	11	-
JUDGE 4	8	4	7	61	-
JUDGE 5	26	2	12	63	-
TOTAL	46	8	21	241	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.